Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/07400020)

## Food Microbiology



journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/fm](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/fm)

# Contribution of Leuconostocaceae to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -mediated bloater defect in cucumber fermentation $\dot{r}$



## Yawen Zhaiª, Ilenys M. Pérez-Díaz<sup>[b,](#page-0-1)[∗](#page-0-2)</sup>

<span id="page-0-1"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup> Department of Food, Bioprocessing and Nutrition Sciences, North Carolina State University, 322 Schaub Hall, Box 7624, Raleigh, NC 27695-7624, USA <sup>b</sup> U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, SAA Food Science Research Unit, 322 Schaub Hall, Box 7624, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-7624, USA



## 1. Introduction

Commercial cucumber fermentations are typically carried out in 28,000 to 40,000 L (7500 gal) open-top, white fiberglass tanks containing between 50 and 60% of the fresh fruits and 50 to 40% cover brine solution. Acetic acid (about 14 mM) is added in the typical cucumber fermentation in the form of vinegar along with 1.04 M (6%) sodium chloride and up to 6 mM (0.01%) potassium sorbate. The intank fruits are promptly covered with wooden boards to prevent them from floating after adding the cover brine, so that equilibration between the fruits and cover brine solution components proceeds uniformly. Air purging is routinely applied to the bottom of fermentation tanks, so that air bubbles comingle with the carbon dioxide that forms during the fermentation and travel to the top of the cover brine solution preventing bloater defect. Bloater defect is defined as the formation of gas pockets inside the fruit with lesions resembling honeycomb, lens or balloon shapes ([Etchells et al., 1974\)](#page-8-0). The accumulation of the gases formed during the fermentation, mostly carbon dioxide  $(CO<sub>2</sub>)$  but also hydrogen in some cases, in the fermentation vessel increases the internal pressure of the cucumbers, displacing the endo- or mesocarp and forming hollow cavities [\(Etchells et al., 1968](#page-8-1); [Fleming et al., 1973b](#page-8-2); [Fleming and Pharr, 1980](#page-8-3)). Production of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by the indigenous microbiota in cucumber fermentation is the culprit in the generation of bloater defect [\(Etchells and Jones, 1941](#page-8-4); [Jones et al., 1941\)](#page-8-5). Such defect causes serious economic losses for the pickling industry [\(Corey](#page-8-6) [et al., 1983](#page-8-6); [Fleming et al., 1973a](#page-8-7)).

The main sources for the cucumbers microbial diversity are the irrigation water, the soil, pre-processing washing water and processing equipment upon harvest ([Etchells and Goresline, 1940](#page-8-8); [Pérez-Díaz](#page-8-9) [et al., 2017](#page-8-9)). The current understanding is that besides Enterobacteriaceae such as Enterobacter spp. and Pantoea spp. among others, lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are naturally present in cucumber fermentations, including Weissella cibaria, Weissella hellenica, Leuconostoc mesenteroides, Leuconostoc lactis, Enterococcus casseliflavus,

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ilenys.perez-diaz@usda.gov](mailto:ilenys.perez-diaz@usda.gov) (I.M. Pérez-Díaz).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2020.103536>

Received 8 November 2019; Received in revised form 20 April 2020; Accepted 23 April 2020 Available online 28 April 2020 0740-0020/ Published by Elsevier Ltd.

<sup>☆</sup> Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the U. S. Department of Agriculture or North Carolina Agricultural Research Service, nor does it imply approval to the exclusion of other products that may be suitable. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Growth of Leuconostocaceae in CJM prepared from size 3B (1.75-2.0" diameter) cucumbers and incubated at 30 °C using a 96-well plate. The data shown are the averages and standard deviations of technical duplicates within the same lot of size 3B (1.75–2.0" diameter) cucumber juice. Panel A shows the Weissella spp. tested including W. hellenica 1.2.50 (●, purple), W. paramesenteroides 3.2.24 (●, blue), W. paramesenteroides 7.2.23 (●, aqua blue), W. cibaria 3.8.44 (●, orange) and W. cibaria 7.8.4 (●, red). Panel B shows the Leuconostoc spp. tested including Lc. lactis 1.2.28 (●, orange), Lc. lactis 1.8.39 (●, red), Lc. mesenteroides 1.2.6 (●, blue), Lc. mesenteroides 1.2.47 (●, aqua blue), Lc. fallax 1.2.22 (●, tan), Lc. citreum 1.2.37 (●, gray) and Lc. holzapfelli 3.8.12 (○, white). The non-inoculated controls are shown in light gray on both panels. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)

Lactobacillus brevis, Pediococcus pentosaceous, Lactobacillus plantarum and Lactobacillus pentosus ([Chen et al., 2012](#page-8-10); [Pérez-Díaz et al., 2017](#page-8-9)). Microbes specifically implicated in bloater defect include Enterobacter spp. in combination with yeasts and the facultative heterofermentors Lb. plantarum and Lb. pentosus able to decarboxylate malic acid [\(Etchells](#page-8-11) [and Bell, 1950](#page-8-11); [Etchells et al., 1968](#page-8-1); [Fleming et al., 1973a](#page-8-7), [1973b](#page-8-2); [McFeeters et al., 1982](#page-8-12); [McFeeters et al., 1984;](#page-8-13) [McDonald et al., 1991](#page-8-14)). Leuconostoc species are present in cucumber fermentations brined with

6% sodium chloride (NaCl) on days 1 and 3, including Lc. mesenteroides, Lc. fallax, Lc. citreum and Lc. holzapfelii [\(Pérez-Díaz et al., 2017](#page-8-9)). W. mesenteroides and W. cibaria overlap with Leuconostoc spp. until Lactobacillaceae prevailed on day 7 [\(Pérez-Díaz et al., 2017](#page-8-9)). Recently, the Leuconostocaceae indigenous to cucumber fermentations were identified as a possible source of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and cause of bloater defect along with Enterobacteriaceae and Lactobacillaceae ([Zhai et al.,](#page-8-15) Submitted). The study demonstrated that bloater defect occurs in cucumber fermentations as

early as day 2 in the presence of Enterobacteriaceae and Leuconostocaceae. The dominance of Lactobacillaceae later in the fermentation further increases  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production and increases bloater defect ([Zhai et al.,](#page-8-15) [Submitted](#page-8-15)).

The Leuconostocaceae are a family of Gram-positive bacteria, including Fructobacillus, Leuconostoc, Oenococcus and Weissella, that usually grow in nutrient-rich environments, including vegetable products ([Björkroth and Holzapfel, 2006](#page-8-16)). Leuconostocaceae cells are mostly ellipsoidal to spherical, often elongated. The exception to this description is the genus Weissella known to form short rods with rounded tapered ends or ovoid rods that occur in pairs or in short chains. Leuconostocaceae grow in glucose medium and appear morphologically closer to lactobacilli than to streptococci. Some strains may produce additional acetate instead of ethanol in the presence of oxygen [\(Holzapfel et al., 2009\)](#page-8-17). Leuconostoc spp. are known to be nonacidophilic and prefer to grow at pH 6.5, even though their growth may proceed at pH 4.5. These species are also sensitive to NaCl concentrations at/or slightly below 6.5% ([DeBruyne et al., 2007;](#page-8-18) [deVos et al.,](#page-8-19) [2009\)](#page-8-19).

This study aims at understanding the role of Leuconostocaceae in bloater defect. The ability of Leuconostocaceae to grow and produce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ in cucumber juice medium (CJM), a model system for the fermentation of the fruit, was tested. Selected Leuconostocaceae were used as starter cultures for cucumber fermentation to understand their contribution to CO2 production and bloater defect. Acidified fermentations with an adjusted pH of 5.9  $\pm$  0.4 were also inoculated with *Leuconostocaceae* to determine their role in bloater defect in the absence of microbial competition.

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. CJM preparation

CJM was prepared by blending size 3B (1.75–2.0" diameter) fresh pickling cucumbers for 60 s at maximum speed using a commercial blender assembly (Waring Co., Torrington, CT). The cucumber slurries were sieved using cheesecloth to remove the particulate and filtersterilized using a 0.2 μm filtration unit (Nalgene®-Rapid Flow™, Thermo Scientific, Santa Clara, CA). One lot of fresh cucumbers was used for this experiment. The sterilized CJM was stored at 4 °C until used. The physical and chemical characteristics of cucumber juice were evaluated by Lu and others in 2002. Cucumber juice contains about equal concentrations of glucose and fructose at 30  $\pm$  8 mM and 10  $\pm$  3 mM malic acid with the fluctuations correlating to fruit size. Cucumber juice may have a pH of 6.2 to 5.4 [\(Lu et al., 2002](#page-8-20)). The chemical composition of the cucumber juice used in this experiment were in line with those documented by [Lu et al. \(2002\).](#page-8-20)

## 2.2. Bacterial cultures

The Leuconostocaceae cultures used in this study are described in the legend of [Fig. 1](#page-1-0). These cultures were isolated from MRS agar plates inoculated with commercial fermentation cover brine samples. Such fermentations were brined with 6% NaCl. The cultures were isolated and identified as described by [Pérez-Díaz et al. \(2017\)](#page-8-9). The cultures were transferred from frozen stocks to MRS agar (catalog no. 288130; Difco™, Becton Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ) prior to the inoculation of the experimental CJM or cucumber fermentations. MRS agar plates were incubated for 48 h at 30 °C under static conditions. Pure colonies were transferred from MRS agar to MRS broth and incubated at 30 °C for 24 h. The cultures were spun at 10,000 rpm for 10 min at room temperature (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5810R, Fisher Scientific, Fremont, CA) and suspended in the same volume of sterile CJM prior to the inoculation of the experimental media.

#### <span id="page-2-1"></span>2.3. Method for accurate determination of viable counts

Fresh, fermenting or fermented cucumbers were aseptically blended using a commercial blender assembly for 60 s at maximum speed (Waring Co.) and subsequently homogenized at medium speed for 30 s using a Stomacher 400 (Tekmar Company, Cincinnati, OH) and homogenization bags equipped with side filters of 250 μm porosity (Interscience Laboratories Inc, Woburn, MA). Samples were serially diluted in 0.85% NaCl solution using aseptic techniques. The colony counts for presumptive LAB were determined by plating on Lactobacilli deMan, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar supplemented with 10 mL/L of a 0.1% solution of cycloheximide (SRO222C, Oxoid Ltd, Basingstoke, Hants, England) to exclude the aerobic growth of yeasts and molds. Yeasts and molds were enumerated in Yeast and Mold agar (YMA) supplemented with 0.04% chloramphenicol and 0.04% chlortetracycline to inhibit bacteria. The MRS and YMA plates were incubated at 30 °C for 48 h prior to enumeration. Enterobacteriaceae and total viable and culturable microbes were cultivated in Violet Red Bile agar supplemented with 1% glucose (VRBG) and Brain Heart Infusion agar (BHI), respectively. The VRBG and BHI plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and 30 °C for 24 h, respectively, prior to the enumeration of colonies. Spiral plating was done using an Eddy Jet 2W spiral plater (IUL Instruments, Barcelona, Spain) for all media types. Colonies were enumerated using a Flash & Go Automated Colony counter (Neutec Group, Inc., Barcelona, Spain).

#### 2.4. To conduct growth studies of Leuconostocaceae in CJM

A 96-well plate format was used to conduct this experiment. Each well was inoculated to 2 Log CFU/mL in a total culture volume of 200 μL inclusive of 180 μL of CJM and 20 μL of the inoculum. The plate was incubated at 30 °C for 96 h (4 days). The cultures absorbance ( $\lambda_{630}$ ) was monitored hourly during incubation using an ELx808 Absorbance Microplate Reader (BioTek, Winooski, VT) to obtain bacterial growth curves and determine the log and stationary phases of growth [\(Fig. 1](#page-1-0)). One lot of fresh cucumbers was used to prepare CJM and each isolate was inoculated in triplicate with a single inoculum. The data presented corresponds to technical triplicates.

## <span id="page-2-2"></span>2.5. Production of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by Leuconostocaceae in CJM

The ability of five Leuconostocaceae to produce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was observed in CJM contained in vacutainers (Becton Dickinson and Co.) including 4 isolates that grew to an Abs<sub>630</sub> of 1.6  $\pm$  0.2 in CJM, such as W. cibaria 3.8.44, Lc. lactis 1.2.28, Lc. holzapfelii 3.8.12 and Lc. mesenteroides 1.2.47, and Lc. fallax 1.2.22 which only reached an  $\text{Abs}_{630}$  of 0.8  $\pm$  0.2 in the same medium. Two lots of fresh cucumbers were used to prepare CJM. Each batch of CJM was inoculated with an independent inoculum prepared as described in section [2.2 to 2](#page-2-0) Log CFU/mL using aseptic techniques, which generated independent duplicates. The Leuconostocaceae were inoculated in 24 mL of sterile CJM. Aliquots of 4 mL of the inoculated CJM were aseptically transferred to 6 vacutainers using sterile syringes equipped with Luer-Lok Tip and BD Precision Glide needles (Becton Dickinson and Co.). The sampling times of 9, 12, 14, 18, 24 and 36 h were selected based on the growth curves shown in [Fig. 1.](#page-1-0) Six vacutainers were inoculated per isolate and replicate so that a single culture could be sacrificed per sampling point for a total of 60 experimental tubes and 12 non-inoculated control tubes. The cultures contained in vacutainers were incubated at 30 °C. One mL liquid samples were taken through the Hemogard closure of the vacutainer (Pulmolab, 10 mL, BD #366643, Northridge, CA) using sterile syringes for pH and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) measurements at each sampling time [\(Tables 1 and 2\)](#page-3-0). The pH for each sample was measured from culture supernatants obtained by spinning the culture aliquots at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 22 °C (Brushless Microcentrifuge, Denville 260D, Denville Scientific, Inc., Holliston, MA).

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1

Changes in pH and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration in cultures of selected Leuconostocaceae inoculated in CJM aliquoted in vacutainers and incubated at 30 °C for 36 h. The data shown represent the average and standard deviation of technical duplicates with cucumber juice expressed from one lot of size 3B (1.75–2.0" diameter) cucumbers. Levels not connected by the same letter within a column are significantly different.

Inoculated Species and Genus Bacterial culture ID	Final CJM pH	Estimated mM of Dissolved CO <sub>2</sub>
None (Positive Control)	$6.0 \pm 0.4$	$6.33 + 0.14$
Weissella cibaria 3.8.44	$4.3 + 0.1^{\text{A}}$	56.66 $\pm$ 14.88 <sup>B</sup>
Leuconostoc lactis 1.2.28	$3.9 + 0.2^{AB}$	$103.16 \pm 1.11^{\text{A}}$
Leuconostoc holzapfelii 3.8.12	$3.8 + 0.0^B$	92.05 $\pm$ 10.70 <sup>A</sup>
Leuconostoc fallax 1.2.22	$3.8 \pm 0.1^{\rm B}$	56.66 $\pm 7.37^{\rm B}$
Leuconostoc mesenteroides 1.2.47	$3.9 + 0.1^{AB}$	$78.49 + 0.97^{AB}$

The pH values were measured with an Accumet pH meter (cat. 13-636- AR25B, Accumet™ AR25 pH/mV/°C/ISE, Fisher Scientific) equipped with a thin gel filled probe (cat. 13-620-290, Fisher Scientific). Supernatants were stored at −20 °C after the pH were measured to conduct HPLC analysis at a later time as described in section [2.7.](#page-3-1) An additional 1 mL sample was collected from each vacutainer at each sampling time for determining colony counts on MRS plates ([Fig. 2](#page-4-0)). The amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  formed in each vacutainer was measured from the remaining 2 mL of culture in each vacutainer as described by [Zhai and Pérez-Díaz](#page-8-21) [\(2017\).](#page-8-21)  $CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were recorded in percent (%), derived from$ the partial pressure of the gas in the headspace, and were converted to mM using the unit conversion curve published by [Zhai et al.](#page-8-15) [\(Submitted;](#page-8-15) [Table 1](#page-3-0)).

## <span id="page-3-2"></span>2.6.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production and bloater defect in cucumber fermentation by Leuconostocaceae

Three independent lots of fresh cucumbers were fermented in closed and sterilized 3.8 L-glass jars with a cover brine containing 25 mM calcium chloride (CaCl<sub>2</sub>), 20.2 mM Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> and 6 mM potassium sorbate, at equilibrium, with an initial adjusted pH of 5.9  $\pm$  0.4. The jars were filled using a 50:50 pack-out ratio of cucumbers and cover brine by weight. The pH was adjusted with a 33.3 M (20%) acetic acid solution as vinegar. The amount of acetic acid needed for pH adjustment were determined by titrating 2–100 g cucumber slurry samples per lot prepared with 50 g of blended cucumbers of a single experimental lot and 50 g of the experimental cover brine. Potassium sorbate was included in the cover brine formulation to prevent the growth of yeasts indigenous to cucumber fermentations ([Etchells et al., 1968\)](#page-8-1) and eliminate the production of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  by the eukaryotes. Leuconostocaceae were inoculated to 5 Log CFU/g, mimicking the intrinsic levels in a cucumber fermentation ([Pérez-Díaz et al., 2017](#page-8-9)), into six experimental jars. The six control jars remained not inoculated. There were two jars per lot of fresh cucumbers in the experimental treatment and control

treatment. The fermentation jars were incubated at 30 °C and samples were collected after 18 and 36 h of incubation. One jar per treatment and lot was sacrificed at each sampling time (18 and 36 h).  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was measured from the headspace at each sampling time by inserting the needle of the Map-Pak Combi Gas Analyzer (AGC Instruments, Co., Clare, Ireland) through the rubber septa installed on the jar lid and initiating the detection on the instrument ([Table 4](#page-5-0) and [Fig. 3\)](#page-5-1). Cucumbers were aseptically cut longitudinally to assess bloater defect at each sampling time as described by [Zhai and Pérez-Díaz \(2017\)](#page-8-21) ([Table 3\)](#page-4-1). The extent of the defect was quantified by calculating bloater index, which takes into account the number of injuries on the internal cucumber tissue and the acuteness of each injury as described by [Wehner and Fleming \(1984\)](#page-8-22). Fermented cucumber slurries were prepared (without the fermentation cover brine) for microbiological and chemical analyses conducted as described in sections [2.3 and 2.7,](#page-2-1) respectively. The pH was measured from homogenized samples obtained as described in section [2.5](#page-2-2). Slurry samples (2 mL) were stored at <sup>−</sup>20 °C, completely thawed at 22 °C for at least 4 h and freeze and thaw a second time to enable the equilibration of water soluble metabolites between the plant material and the juice prior to HPLC analysis. The supernatants of thawed samples were obtained by spinning at 12,000 rpm for 10 min (Brushless Microcentrifuge, Denville 260D, Denville Scientific) at 22 °C. Quantification of organic acid and sugars was done using the HPLC method described in section [2.7](#page-3-1).

## <span id="page-3-1"></span>2.7. Quantification of organic acids and sugars by HPLC analysis

The HPLC method described by [McFeeters and Barish \(2003\)](#page-8-23) was used using an Aminex 300  $\times$  7.8 mm HPX-87H resin column (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). The operating conditions of the system included a column temperature of 65 °C and a 0.01 N  $H_2SO_4$  eluent set to flow at 0.9 mL/min. A SPD- 20A UV–vis detector (Shimadzu Corporation, Canby, OR) was set at 210 nm at a rate of 1 Hz to quantify malic acid and succinic acid. A RID-10A refractive index detector (Shimadzu Corporation) connected in series with the diode array detector was used to measure lactic acid, acetic acid, glucose, fructose and ethanol. The external standardization of the detectors was done using eight gradient concentrations of the standard compounds (data not shown). The compound concentration for the samples was calculated based on the height peak of each compound in the chromatograph as compared to the corresponding compounds on the standard curves at specific retention times using the LabSolutions Workstation (Shimadzu Corporation).

## 2.8. Bloater defect and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production by Lc. lactis 1.2.28 in acidified cucumber fermentations

Size 2B (1.25–1.5" diameter) cucumbers of three lots were used for these fermentations. A sample of 200 g of each fresh cucumber lot was prepared as described in section [2.3](#page-2-1) upon arrival to the lab to obtain

#### <span id="page-3-3"></span>Table 2

Metabolites of fermentation by Leuconostocaceae in CJM incubated at 30 °C for 36 h. The concentration of malic acid, glucose and fructose in CJM were 14.90 mM, 42.54 mM and 53.43 mM, respectively. The data presented represent the average and standard deviation of technical duplicates with cucumber juice expressed from one lot of size 3B (1.75–2.0" diameter) cucumbers. Levels not connected by the same letter within a column are significantly different. BDL abbreviates below detection limit.

Inocula	Residual Malic Acid (mM)	Substrates Utilized (mM)		Metabolic Products (mM)			
		Glucose	Fructose	Succinic Acid	Acetic Acid	Lactic Acid	Ethanol
None (Positive Control) Weissella cibaria 3.8.44 Leuconostoc lactis 1.2.28 Leuconostoc holzapfelii 3.8.12 Leuconostoc fallax 1.2.22 Leuconostoc mesenteroides 1.2.47	$14.9 \pm 4.0$ BDL <sup>E</sup> BDL <sup>E</sup> BDL <sup>E</sup> $15.6 \pm 2.8$ <sup>ABC</sup> BDL <sup>E</sup>	$42.5 \pm 0.4$ $29.6 \pm 0.0^{\rm BC}$ $40.7 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ 38.4 $\pm$ 0.0 <sup>BC</sup> $29.2 + 0.1^{\mathrm{B}}$ $37.7 + 0.1^{\circ}$	$53.4 \pm 5.5$ $9.0 \pm 0.8^{\rm A}$ $30.6 + 7.1^{B}$ 50.4 $\pm$ 0.2 <sup>C</sup> 48.5 $\pm$ 0.3 <sup>C</sup> $50.7 + 0.1^{\circ}$	$2.4 \pm 0.3$ $13.0 \pm 0.8^{\rm B}$ $1.1 + 0.5^{\rm B}$ $8.6 \pm 1.10^{B}$ $0.8 \pm 0.1^{\rm A}$ $7.4 \pm 1.1^{\rm B}$	BDI. $8.0 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$ $10.0 + 2.3^{\circ}$ $29.1 + 0.8^{A}$ $20.4 + 1.3^B$ $27.2 + 1.4^{\text{A}}$	$1.3 \pm 1.8$ $25.3 + 3.5^B$ $44.1 + 4.4^{\text{A}}$ $43.7 + 1.6^{\text{A}}$ $28.6 + 6.8^{AB}$ $38.7 + 3.2^{AB}$	<b>BDL</b> $19.4 \pm 3.3^{\text{A}}$ $25.8 + 2.8^{\text{A}}$ BDI <sup>B</sup> BDI <sup>B</sup> BDL <sup>B</sup>

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 2. CO<sub>2</sub> production (panel A) and colony counts (panel B) from cultures of *Leuconostocaceae* in CJM inoculated to 2 Log CFU/mL (horizontal black line). Control; W. cibaria; Lc. lactis; Lc. holzapfelii;  $\leq Lc$ . fallax;  $\Box$  Lc. mesenteroides. The data shown are the average and standard deviation of technical duplicates collected from vacutainers filled with cucmber juice expressed from one lot of size 3B (1.75–2.0″ diameter) cucumbers.

#### <span id="page-4-1"></span>Table 3

Bloater index and colony counts for presumptive Enterobacteriaceae, yeasts and molds and lactic acid bacteria from Violet Red Bile-Glucose agar (VRBG) and Brain Heart Infusion agar (BHI), Yeast and Mold agar (YMA) and Lactobacilli deMan, Rogosa and Sharpe agar (MRS), respectively. The culture media were inoculated with a 36 h old cucumber fermentation homogenate. The cucumber fermentations were inoculated with Leuconostocaceae. Fresh cucumbers were brined with 25 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 6 mM potassium sorbate and 20.2 mM Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>. The initial fermentation pH was adjusted to 6.0 with 20% vinegar (acetic acid). The data shown represent the average and standard deviation of triplicate samples collected from cucmber fermentaion jars packed with three independent lots of size 2B (1.25–1.5" diameter) cucumbers. Levels not connected by the same letter within a column are significantly different.



initial colony counts. Cucumbers were packed in 3.8 L-glass jars with a cover brine formulated to contain 25 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 6 mM potassium sorbate at equilibrium. The cover brine also contained enough acetic acid, added as 20% vinegar, to adjust the initial pH to 3.3  $\pm$  0.1. The amount of acetic acid needed was determined by scaling up proportionally the amount of acetic acid needed to titrate 100 g of cucumber slurries prepared with cucumbers from a single experimental lot and the experimental cover brine mixed in a 50:50 ratio. The initial

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 4

Metabolites detected from fermented cucumbers collected from jars inoculated with selected Leuconostocaceae to 5 Log CFU/mL. Two species Lc. lactis 1.2.28 and Lc. fallax 1.2.22 were inoculated in cucumber fermentations. Cucumber samples were collected from 18 h to 36 h old cucumber fermentations. Cucumbers were brined with 25 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 6 mM potassium sorbate and 20.2 mM Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>. The initial fermentation pH was adjusted to 6.0 using 20% vinegar (acetic acid). The concentration of malic acid, glucose and fructose in the fresh cucumbers were 14.94 mM, 59.80 mM and 64.90 mM, respectively. The data presented represent the average and standard deviation of triplicate samples collected from jars packed with 3 independent lots of size 2B (1.25–1.5") cucumbers. Levels not connected by same letter within a column are significantly different.



<span id="page-5-1"></span>

Fig. 3. pH (panel A) and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production (panel B) on cucumber fermentations inoculated with Leuconostocaceae to 5 Log CFU/g. Cucumbers were brined with 25 mM CaCl2, 6 mM potassium sorbate and 20.2 mM  $Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>$ . The initial fermentation pH was adjusted to  $6.0 \pm 0.1$  using 20% vinegar (acetic acid).  $(\mathbb{R})$  *Lc. lactis*;  $(\Box)$  *Lc. fallax*;  $(\blacksquare)$ Notinoculated control. The data presented represent the average and standard deviation of triplicate samples of fermented CJM prepared with juice expressed from 3 independent lots of size 2B (1.25–1.5″ diameter) cucumbers.

pH was adjusted to 3.3  $\pm$  0.1 to inhibit and eliminate the indigenous acid-sensitive microbiota in the fresh cucumbers ([Pérez-Díaz and](#page-8-24) [McFeeters, 2008](#page-8-24)). The final pH in a cucumber fermentation is usually at 3.3 to 3.5 [\(Pérez-Díaz et al., 2015](#page-8-25)), which promotes the die-off of most microbes including the acid-tolerant Lb. plantarum. An equal weight of cucumbers and cover brine were added into each jar aiming to include 16 cucumbers per jar. The jars were vacuum sealed with metal lug lids that were boiled for 15 s to prevent leakage of the gas to be produced. The center of the jar lids were punched and equipped with a 12 mm rubber septa that fitted in the punched hole, for sampling using a syringe equipped with a Luer-Lok Tip and BD Precision Glide needle (Becton Dickinson and Co.). Jars were incubated at 30 °C for 3 days to enable the equilibration of the cucumber and cover brine components to pH 3.3  $\pm$  0.1. During the equilibration period, additional cucumbers were blended and mixed with the fermentation cover brine to conduct titrations with  $Ca(OH)_2$  and determine the amount needed to raise the pH back to 5.9  $\pm$  0.4, so that Leuconostocaceae could proliferate upon inoculation. Lc. mesenteroides is more acid sensitive than Lb. plantarum and stops growing at an intracellular pH of 5.4–5.7 ([McDonald et al., 1990](#page-8-26)). Therefore, a fermentation pH slightly above 5.4 was expected to permit the growth of Leuconostoc species. One cucumber and the equivalent weight of cover brine were retrieved from each jar using aseptic techniques to determine microbial counts after equilibration on day 4 post-packing to confirm the inhibition or elimination of the indigenous microbiota. The brined cucumbers and cover brine were blended and homogenized prior to plating on VRBG, MRS, YMA and BHI media as described in section  $2.3$ . Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> was added to each jar to the pre-determined concentrations and the jars were incubated for three additional days at 30 °C to enable equilibration before inoculation. Three independent Lc. lactis 1.2.28 cultures were diluted as needed with CJM and inoculated to 5 Log CFU/g in the three treatment jars, each containing an independent lot of cucumbers generating independent triplicates. Control cucumber fermentation jars remained not inoculated. Aliquots of 50 mL to 110 mL of 3.33 M acetic acid were added to the fermentation jars to re-adjust the pH to 5.9  $\pm$  0.4. All jars were re-capped with lids equipped with a 12 mm rubber septa to enable sampling with a syringe and needle assembly as described in section [2.6.](#page-3-2) Daily  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  measurements were obtained from the headspace of the jars using the Map-Pak Combi Gas Analyzer as described in section [2.6](#page-3-2). The cucumber fermentations were stopped on day 7 after inoculation. Cover brine samples were collected through the rubber septa on the lids with a syringe and needle assembly (Becton Dickinson and Co.) and transferred to vacutainers for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  measurements as described by [Zhai](#page-8-21) [and Pérez-Díaz \(2017\).](#page-8-21) Fermentation cover brine supernatants were prepared for pH measurements as described in section [2.6.](#page-3-2) Fifteen fruits per jar were aseptically cut longitudinally for bloater defect assessment. Samples of the cut cucumbers and cover brine (in a 1:1 ratio  $w/w$ ) were collected from each treatment for microbiological analysis conducted as described in section [2.3](#page-2-1). Each slurry sample was stored at −20 °C to conduct HPLC analysis at a later time as described in section [2.7](#page-3-1). The final acetic acid production presented in [Table 5](#page-6-0) was calculated by deducting the total amount of the acetic acid added for pH adjustment.

#### 2.9. Statistical analysis

The significant differences among the treatments were determined by LSMeans Tukey HSD using JMP Pro 12 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). A difference between treatments based on date was considered and the interactions between treatments and sampling times were assessed. For all data sets, means denoted by different letters are statistically significantly different  $P \le 0.05$  (ANOVA).

## 3. Results

#### 3.1. Growth of Leuconostocaceae in CJM and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production

Most Leuconostocaceae reached maximum cell densities in CJM by 12 to 20 h, with maximum optical densities at  $\lambda_{630}$  from 0.8 to 1.8 ([Fig. 1](#page-1-0)). Five representative cultures with different growth patterns were selected for assessment of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production in CJM contained in vacutainers. Lc lactis 1.2.28 and Lc. holzapfelii 3.8.12 produced over 40% (equivalent to 90–100 mM)  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in CJM [\(Fig. 2](#page-4-0) and [Table 1\)](#page-3-0). The remaining three Leuconostocaceae, W. cibaria 3.8.44, Lc. fallax 1.2.22 and Lc. mesenteroides 1.2.47 produced an average of 30% (estimated at 61 mM)  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  [\(Table 1](#page-3-0) and [Fig. 2](#page-4-0)). No significant differences among cultures were observed on pH and colony counts from MRS plates

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>Table 5

Fermentation biochemistry and microbial colony counts from acidified cucumber fermentation homogenates. The samples were collected on day 4 after packing the jars and on day 7 after the cucumbers in jars were inoculated with Lc. lactis 1.2.28. The colony counts from VRBG and YMA were below detection limit (BDL). The concentration of malic acid, glucose and fructose in the fresh cucumbers were 12.56 mM, 50.95 mM and 55.42 mM, respectively. The data presented represent the average and standard deviation of triplicate homogenate samples collected from jars packed with three independent lots of size 2B (1.25–1.5" diameter) cucumbers. Levels not connected by the same letter within a row are significantly different.



([Tables 1 and 2](#page-3-0), [Fig. 2\)](#page-4-0) by the end of the fermentation in CJM. [Table 1](#page-3-0) shows that most Leuconostoc species ceased growing at an average pH of 3.9  $\pm$  0.1, while *W*. *cibaria* stopped at a pH of 4.3  $\pm$  0.1.

[Table 2](#page-3-3) shows the biochemistry of the fermentation by Leuconoctocaceae in CJM. Lc. lactis 1.2.28, Lc. holzapfelii 3.8.12 and Lc. mesenteroides 1.2.47 exhausted the glucose; Lc. lactis 1.2.28 had about 22 mM of fructose left while Lc. fallax 1.2.22 used more fructose than glucose ([Table 2](#page-3-3)). Most of the cultures utilized malic acid, except Lc. fallax 1.2.22. Lc. lactis 1.2.28 and Lc. holzapfelii 3.8.12 produced the highest amount of lactic acid at  $43.9 \pm 2.7$  mM. An average of 28.1  $\pm$  1.5 mM acetic acid was produced by *Lc. holzapfelii* 3.8.12 and Lc. mesenteroides 1.2.47 in CJM ([Table 2\)](#page-3-3). While W. cibaria 3.8.44 produced 20 mM ethanol, it did not complete the fermentation in CJM in 36 h. Lc. lactis 1.2.28, was the only other ethanol producer and did not produce acetic acid above 10 mM. W. cibaria 3.8.44, Lc. holzapfelii 3.8.12 and Lc. mesenteroides 1.2.47 produced from 7 to 13 mM succinic acid ([Table 2\)](#page-3-3).

## 3.2.  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production and bloater defect in cucumber fermentation by Leuconostocaceae

Two Leuconostocaceae cultures, Lc. lactis 1.2.28 and Lc. fallax 1.2.22, were selected for this experiment based on the fermentation biochemistry in CJM ([Table 2](#page-3-3)). Contrary to Lc. fallax 1.2.22, Lc. lactis 1.2.28 utilized malic acid and produced ethanol [\(Table 2\)](#page-3-3). The inoculation of Leuconostocaceae in cucumber fermentations to 5 Log CFU/mL resulted in no significant differences in bloater defect, colony counts or fer-mentation biochemistry [\(Tables 3 and 4\)](#page-4-1). About 56% ( $\pm$  7%) of CO<sub>2</sub> was measured in the fermentation jar headspace ([Fig. 3](#page-5-1)). The formation of lactic acid, acetic acid and ethanol to 16.3 ± 2.3 mM,

26.4  $\pm$  6.2 mM and 20.5  $\pm$  2.1 mM, respectively, suggested that heterofermentation by the indigenous and inoculated Leuconostocaceae took place. A bloater index of 1.6  $\pm$  0.9 was observed in all treatments after 36 h of incubation.

## 3.3. Bloater defect and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production by Lc. lactis 1.2.28 in acidified cucumber fermentations

The effectiveness of the initial acidification in reducing the indigenous microbiota is demonstrated by colony counts on BHI and MRS plates from the homogenized samples of cucumbers and cover brines at 3 Log CFU/g and 2 Log CFU/g, respectively, on day 4 after packing the jars (data not shown). These colony counts represented 1000X reduction in the microbial counts from the fresh cucumbers at 6 Log CFU/g on BHI plates and 5 Log CFU/g on MRS and VRBG plates. The colony counts did not increase 4 days after adding calcium hydroxide (Ca  $(OH)_2$ ) for pH adjustment (data not shown). [Table 5](#page-6-0) shows that the total colony counts from BHI were  $6.9 \pm 0.4$  Log CFU/mL in the not inoculated control as compared to 9.1  $\pm$  0.3 in the jars inoculated with Lc. lactis 1.2.28 7 days after inoculation. Colony counts for presumptive Enterobacteriaceae and yeasts and molds from VRBG and YMA plates were too few to count by the end of the incubation (data not shown). The colony counts from MRS were significantly higher (by almost 1 Log CFU/g) in the jars inoculated with the heterofermentor than the not inoculated jars ([Table 5](#page-6-0)). The inoculation of the acidified cucumber fermentations with Lc. lactis 1.2.28 resulted in a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production of 13.60  $\pm$  3.48% (estimated at 32.09  $\pm$  6.85 mM) with a bloater index of 21.3  $\pm$  6.4 ([Table 5\)](#page-6-0), which contrasted with the formation of 8.57  $\pm$  0.8% CO<sub>2</sub> (estimated at 22.19  $\pm$  1.58) and a bloater index of 5.2  $\pm$  5.9 in the not inoculated jars ([Table 5\)](#page-6-0). No CO<sub>2</sub> production was detected in the fermentation jars headspace 7 days post-inoculation ([Table 5](#page-6-0)).

## 4. Discussion

The amount of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  produced by the Leuconostocaceae in CJM are two to three times that needed to cause bloater defect. Twelve percent (estimated at 28 mM)  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is needed in fermentation jars to induce injuries in the tissue ([Zhai et al., submitted](#page-8-15)). The ability of Leuconostocaceae to produce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and proliferate in CJM or a cucumber fermentation seems to be limited by pH below 3.9 [\(Table 3](#page-4-1)). A similar pH limitation was observed for Lc. holzapfelii ([De Bruyne et al., 2007\)](#page-8-18). The Leuconostoc spp. and Weissella spp. isolated from industrial cucumber fermentations utilized both glucose and fructose and most used malic acid ([Table 4](#page-5-0)). Malic acid degradation protects LAB, particularly Lb. plantarum, from low pH stress ([Garcia et al., 1992](#page-8-27)). Leuconostocaceae show no preference for glucose or fructose utilization from the CJM to produce lactic acid, acetic acid and some succinic acid [\(Table 2](#page-3-3)). The fact that Leuconostocaceae can produce no more than 28 mM acetic acid and 25 mM ethanol in CJM suggests that these microbes are likely minimally contributing these compounds to cucumber fermentations. Succinate is produced via the reductive tricarboxylic acid cycle which is affected by pH, temperature, the concentration of  $H_2$ , CO<sub>2</sub>, carbon, nitrogen sources and the metal ions available in the growth medium ([Agarwal et al., 2007](#page-8-28); [Andriani et al., 2019\)](#page-8-29). Although the production of succinic acid is nominal, it seems likely that Leuconostoc species can ferment the glucose and fructose naturally present in cucumbers to a mixture of formate, acetate, lactate and succinate, anaerobically, by converting the central intermediate phosphoenolpyruvate into oxaloacetate. Given that acidic pH reduces the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  solubility and succinic acid production is a  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixing pathway, the production of such acid would favorably reduce the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentrations contributed by the same bacterial family, the Leuconostocaceae [\(Samuelov et al., 1991](#page-8-30); [Zeikus et al., 1999\)](#page-8-31).

The supplementation of cucumber fermentations with a Leuconostoc starter culture did not significantly impact the fermentation biochemistry, colony counts from MRS or bloater index. These observations suggest a possible inability of the inoculated species to dominate in a cucumber fermentation or an inability to cause bloater defect ([Tables 3 and 4\)](#page-4-1). An acidified cucumber fermentation was considered as an alternate tool to observe the possible influence of Leuconostocaceae in bloater defect in the absence of the competing microbiota. This experiment was designed to determine if the inability of a Leuconostocaceae starter culture to prevail in a cucumber fermentation prevented a contribution to bloater defect in the previous cucumber fermentation experiment. The acidification of the cucumbers to be fermented to pH 3.3  $\pm$  0.1 was applied to reduce the indigenous microbiota, which was indeed reduced by 3 Log CFU/g. The acidification effectively controlled for acid sensitive gram-negative bacteria and some LAB intolerant to pH 3.3  $\pm$  0.1. Leuconostoc mesenteroides is known to survive in acidic conditions as long as its internal pH is above 5.4 to 5.7, while Lb. plantarum can tolerate an internal pH of 4.6 to 4.8 ([McDonald et al., 1990](#page-8-26)). Thus, it is likely that the indigenous lactobacilli retained viability in the acidified fermentations after the pH was reduced to 3.3  $\pm$  0.1 and grew after the pH was raised to 5.9  $\pm$  0.4. This is supported by the colony counts from MRS plates and the formation of lactic acid in the non-inoculated jars [\(Table 5\)](#page-6-0). The possibility that the native Leuconostocaceae proliferated in the control jars cannot be excluded given that ethanol and acetic acid were also formed ([Table 5](#page-6-0)).

The inoculation of Lc. lactis 1.2.28 in acidified fermentations after the pH was adjusted to 5.9  $\pm$  0.4 brought the CO<sub>2</sub> level to 13.6  $\pm$  3.5%, which is just above the 12% needed to cause bloater defect ([Zhai et al., submitted\)](#page-8-15) ([Table 5\)](#page-6-0). The bloater index from the jars inoculated with Lc. lactis 1.2.28 was at 21.3  $\pm$  6.4, which was significantly higher than that observed in the non-inoculated control jars at  $5.2 \pm 5.9$  [\(Table 5](#page-6-0)). Thus, it is concluded that although Leuconostocaceae cannot dominate in cucumber fermentations they have the ability to produce enough  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in cucumber fermentations to cause bloater defect. It seems likely that the Leuconosctocaceae are not the only or main contributor of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in cucumber fermentations as it can be outcompeted by other indigenous lactic acid bacteria.

## 5. Conclusion

It is apparent that *Leuconostocaceae* can produce  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from sugar catabolism in cucumber fermentations brined with 25 mM  $CaCl<sub>2</sub>$  and 6 mM potassium sorbate and contribute to bloater defect. Leuconostocaceae have the ability to produce over 40%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in CJM. Although, the inoculation of Leuconostocaceae in cucumber fermentations to 5 Log CFU/g did not exacerbate bloater defect after 36 h of incubation at pH 5.0, they can contribute to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  production by mixedacid fermentation and induce the formation of hollow cavities in acidified cucumber fermentations, depicted of the acid resistant indigenous microbiota. Further studies are needed to develop strategies for the control of Leuconostocaceae in cucumber fermentations and prevent bloater defect.

#### Author contributions

Ms. Yawen Zhai designed and conducted the experiments, collected the data, interpreted the results and drafted the manuscript. Dr. Ilenys Pérez-Díaz defined the scientific approach, contributed to the experimental design, assisted with the execution of the experiment and data interpretation and edited the manuscript.

## Declaration of competing interest

There is no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Mr. Christian G. Pagán-Medina with the USDA-ARS Food Science Research Unit located in Raleigh, NC for exceptional technical support. Ms. Yawen (Karen) Zhai is affiliated with North Carolina State University and was partially funded by Mount Olive Pickle Company, Mount Olive, North Carolina, USA and Pickle Packers International, LCC, Washington, Distric of Columbia, USA.

## References

<span id="page-8-28"></span>[Agarwal, L., Isar, J., Meghwanshi, G.K., Saxena, R.K., 2007. In](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref1)fluence of environmental [and nutritional factors on succinic acid production and enzymes of reverse tri](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref1)carboxylic acid cycle from Enterococcus flavescens[. Enzym. Microb. Technol. 40 \(4\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref1) 629–[636](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref1).

<span id="page-8-29"></span>[Andriani, A., Nuryana, I., Rahmani, N., Hartati, S., Lisdiyanti, P., Yopi, 2019. The potency](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref2) of cassava starch (var. kristal merah and var. revita[\) for bio-succinic acid production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref2) [using indigenous lactic acid bacteria \(](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref2)Leuconostoc sp). IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. [Sci. 251, 012039.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref2)

<span id="page-8-16"></span>[Björkroth, J., Holzapfel, W., 2006. Genera](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref3) Leuconostoc, Oenococcus and Weissella, p. 267–[319. In: third ed. In: Dworkin, M. \(Ed.\), The Prokaryotes: A Handbook on the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref3) [Biology of Bacteria: Firmicutes, Cyanobacteria, vol. 4 Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref3)

<span id="page-8-10"></span>[Chen, Y.S., Wu, H.C., Lo, H.Y., Lin, W.C., Hsu, W.H., Lin, C.W., Lin, P.Y., Yanagida, F.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref4) [2012. Isolation and characterization of lactic acid bacteria from jiang-gua \(fermented](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref4) [cucumbers\), a traditional fermented food in Taiwan. J. Sci. Food Agric. 15 \(92\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref4) [2069](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref4)–2075.

<span id="page-8-6"></span>[Corey, K.A., Pharr, D.M., Fleming, H.P., 1983. Role of the osmoticum in bloater formation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref5) [of pickling cucumbers. J. Food Sci. 48 \(1\), 197](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref5)–201.

<span id="page-8-18"></span>[DeBruyne, K., Schillinger, U., Caroline, L., Boehringer, B., Cleenwerck, I., Vancanneyt, M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref6) [DeVuyst, L., Franz, C.M.A.P., Vandamme, P., 2007.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref6) Leuconostoc holzapfelii sp. nov., isolated from Ethiopian coff[ee fermentation and assessment of sequence analysis of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref6) housekeeping for delineation of Leuconostoc [species. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref6) [2952](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref6)–2959.

<span id="page-8-19"></span>[deVos, P., Garrity, G.M., Jones, D., Krieg, N.R., Ludwig, W., Rainey, F.A., 2009. The](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref7) fi[rmicutes. In: In: Schleifer, K.H., Whitman, W.B. \(Eds.\), Bergey's Manual of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref7) [Systematic Bacteriology, vol. 3 Springer-Verlag, New York, NY](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref7).

<span id="page-8-11"></span>Etchells, J.L., Bell, T.A., 1950. Classifi[cation of yeasts from the fermentation of com](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref8)[mercially brined cucumbers. Farlowia 4 \(1\), 87](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref8)–112.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>[Etchells, J.L., Bell, T.A., Fleming, H.P., Kelling, R.E., Thompson, R.L., 1974. Q-BAT](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref9) [Instruction Sheet with Bloater Chart. Advisory Statement Published and Distributed](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref9) [by Pickle. Packers International, Inc., St. Charles, IL.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref9)

<span id="page-8-1"></span>[Etchells, J.L., Borg, A.F., Bell, T.A., 1968. Bloater formation by gas-forming lactic acid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref10) [bacteria in cucumber fermentations. Appl. Microbiol. 16 \(7\), 1029](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref10)–1035.

<span id="page-8-8"></span>[Etchells, J.L., Goresline, H.E., 1940. Methods of examination of fresh cucumber pickle.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref11) [Veg. Prod. J. 19 \(11\), 331](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref11)–335.

<span id="page-8-4"></span>[Etchells, J.L., Jones, I.D., 1941. An occurrence of bloaters during the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref12) finishing of sweet [pickles. Fruit Prod. J. 20 \(12\), 370](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref12)–381.

<span id="page-8-3"></span>[Fleming, H.P., Pharr, D.M., 1980. Mechanism for bloater formation in brined cucumbers.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref13) [J. Food Sci. 45 \(6\), 1595](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref13)–1600.

<span id="page-8-7"></span>[Fleming, H.P., Thompson, R.L., Etchells, J.L., Kelling, R.E., Bell, T.A., 1973a. Carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref14)

Y. Zhai and I.M. Pérez-Díaz *Food Microbiology 91 (2020) 103536*

[dioxide production in the fermentation of brined cucumbers. J. Food Sci. 38,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref14) 504–[506](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref14).

- <span id="page-8-2"></span>Fleming, [H.P., Thompson, R.L., Etchells, J.L., Kelling, R.E., Bell, T.A., 1973b. Bloater](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref15) [formation in brined cucumbers fermented by](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref15) Lactobacillus plantarum. J. Food Sci. 38, 499–[503](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref15).
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>[Garcia, M.J., Zuniga, M., Kobayashi, H., 1992. Energy production from L-malic acid de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref16)[gradation and protection against acidic external pH in](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref16) Lactobacillus plantarum CECT [220. J. Gen. Microbiol. 138 \(12\), 2519](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref16)–2524.
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>[Holzapfel, W.H., Björkroth, J.A., Dicks, L.M., 2009. The Firmicutes:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref17) Leuconostocaceae fam [nov. p 624-630. In: second ed. In: Schleifer, K.H. \(Ed.\), Bergey's Manual of Systematic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref17) [Bacteriology, vol. 3 Springer-Verlag, New York, NY](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref17).
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>Jones, I.D., Etchells, J.L., Veerhoff[, O., Veldhuis, M.K., 1941. Observations on bloater](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref18) [formation in cucumber fermentation. Fruit Prod. J. 20 202-206, 219-220.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref18)

<span id="page-8-20"></span>[Lu, Z., Fleming, H.P., McFeeters, R.F., 2002. E](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref19)ffects of fruit size on fresh cucumber [composition and the chemical and physical consequences of fermentation. J. Food](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref19) [Sci. 67 \(8\), 2934](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref19)–2939.

<span id="page-8-14"></span>[McDonald, L.C., Fleming, H.P., Daeschel, M.A., 1991. Acidi](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref20)fication effects on microbial [populations during initiation of cucumber fermentation. J. Food Sci. 56 \(5\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref20) 1353–[1356 1359.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref20)

<span id="page-8-26"></span>[McDonald, L.C., Fleming, H.P., Hassan, H.M., 1990. Acid tolerance of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref21) Leuconostoc mesenteroides and Lactobacillus plantarum[. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 56 \(7\), 2120](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref21)–2124.

<span id="page-8-23"></span>McFeeters, R.F., Barish, A.O., 2003. Sulfi[te analysis of fruits and vegetables by high](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref22)[performance liquid chromatography \(HPLC\) with ultraviolet spectrophotometric](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref22) [detection. J. Agric. Food Chem. 51 \(6\), 1513](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref22)–1517.

<span id="page-8-13"></span>[McFeeters, R.F., Fleming, H.P., Daeschel, M.A., 1984. Malic acid degradation and brined](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref23) [cucumber bloating. J. Food Sci. 49 \(4\), 999](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref23)–1002.

<span id="page-8-12"></span>[McFeeters, R.F., Fleming, H.P., Thompson, R.L., 1982. Malic acid as a source of carbon](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref24) [dioxide in cucumber fermentations. J. Food Sci. 47 \(6\), 1862](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref24)–1865.

<span id="page-8-9"></span>[Pérez-Díaz, I.M., Hayes, J.S., Medina-Pradas, E., Anekella, K., Daughtry, K.V., Dieck, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref25) [Levi, M., Price, R., Butz, N., Lu, Z., Azcarte-Peril, M., 2017. Reassessment of the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref25) [succession of lactic acid bacteria in commercial cucumber fermentations and phy](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref25)[siological and genomic features associated with their dominance. Food Microbiol. 5](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref25) [\(63\), 217](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref25)–227.

<span id="page-8-24"></span>[Pérez-Díaz, I.M., McFeeters, R.F., 2008. Microbiological preservation of cucumbers for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref26) [bulk storage by the use of acetic acid and food preservatives. J. Food Sci. 73 \(6\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref26) M287–[M291](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref26).

<span id="page-8-25"></span>[Pérez-Díaz, I.M., McFeeters, R.F., Moeller, L., Johanningsmeier, S.D., Hayes, J.S., Fornea,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref27) [D., Gilbert, C., Custis, N., Beene, K., Bass, D., 2015. Commercial scale cucumber](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref27) [fermentations brined with calcium chloride instead of sodium chloride. J. Food Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref27) [80 \(12\), M2827](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref27)–M2836.

<span id="page-8-30"></span>[Samuelov, N.S., Lamed, R., Lowe, S., Zeikus, J.G., 1991. In](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref28)fluence of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -HCO<sup>3+</sup> levels [and pH on growth, succinate production and enzyme activities of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref28) Anaerobiospirillum succiniciproducens[. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 57, 3013](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref28)–3019.

<span id="page-8-22"></span>[Wehner, T.C., Fleming, H.P., 1984. Evaluation of bloater resistance in pickling cucumbers](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref29) [using a brine carbonation method. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 109 \(2\), 261](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref29)–265.

<span id="page-8-21"></span>[Zhai, Y., Pérez-Díaz, I.M., 2017. Fermentation cover brine reformulation for cucumber](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref30) [processing with low salt to reduce bloater defect. J. Food Sci. 82 \(12\), 2987](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref30)–2996.

<span id="page-8-31"></span>[Zeikus, J.G., Jain, M.K., Elankovan, P., 1999. Biotechnology of succinic acid production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref32) [and markets for derived industrial products. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 51 \(5\),](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref32) 545–[552](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0740-0020(20)30125-8/sref32).

<span id="page-8-15"></span>Zhai Y, Perez-Diaz IM, Azcarate-Peril A. Submitted. Bloater defect in fermented cucumbers is caused by Enterobacteriaceae, Leuconostocaceae and Lactobacillaceae. 2020.